

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant(s) : Eugene KOMAREK

Conf. No.: 7077

Application No.: 10/575,946

Art Unit: 3744

Filed: April 13, 2006

Examiner: John K. Ford

Title: MULTIZONE AIR CONDITIONING  
SYSTEM OF A MOTOR VEHICLECommissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450**RESPONSE TO NOTICE OF NON-RESPONSIVE REPLY MAILED JUNE 3, 2010**

Sir:

Two issues were raised in a Notice of Non-Responsive Reply mailed on June 3, 2010. The first issue was a requirement that an element described in the specification be illustrated in the drawings because it is "inherently claimed" in claim 1. The second issue was that specific support for the language "overlaps" in claim 1 and "overlapping" in claim 11 was not identified in the reply. Each of these issues is addressed below, and the allowance of all claims or the issuance of a further Office Action on the merits is respectfully requested.

The requirement for drawing revisions is respectfully traversed as being improper and without support in Title 35 of the United States Code or the rules of the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office. Patent Rule 1.83(a) requires that the drawings show "every feature of the invention specified in the claims." it is respectfully submitted that every feature of the invention specified in the pending claims is shown in the drawings. Claim